UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,643	01/11/2002	Gordon Bechtel	D02505	5978
43471 Motorola, Inc.	7590 07/16/200	9	EXAM	IINER
Law Department 1303 East Algonquin Road 3rd Floor Schaumburg, IL 60196			USTARIS, JOSEPH G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2424	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/043,643	BECHTEL ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
Christopher Kelley	2424	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 21 May 2009.
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not incl	s not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. lude reasons why a review is appropriate. is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal be brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period betice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 17 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able.
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from core	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) Christopher Kelley.	(3) <u>Joe Ustaris</u> .
(2) <u>Chris Grant</u> .	(4)
/Christopher Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424	/Chris Grant/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2400